

CONFIDENTIAL

D R A F T

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

11443

C.I.G. DIRECTIVE NO. _____

REVIEW OF PUBLICATIONS

Memorandum by the Director of Central Intelligence with
Unanimous Concurrence by the Intelligence Advisory Board

1. In order to effectuate one of the purposes of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 creating a National Intelligence Authority to plan for the coordination of intelligence activities and establish such overall policies and objectives as will assure effective accomplishment of the national intelligence mission, it is deemed necessary that intelligence publications issued by Central Intelligence Group, by the member departments, by joint or interdepartmental organizations of such departments, and by other Federal agencies, be subjected to a careful and continuing review by the Central Intelligence Group, with the view to eliminating undesirable duplication and unnecessary reports, insuring adequate dissemination of such publications, and preventing excessive distribution.
2. Accordingly, each intelligence publication issued by departments and organizations mentioned in paragraph 1 shall be reviewed by the Central Intelligence Group; and copies shall be furnished to the Central Intelligence Group as required, with an indication in each case of distribution made. In the case of a proposed new publication, unless the time element prevents, the department originating the publication should submit it for review in advance.
3. For the purposes of this directive, "publication" is defined as any paper or report containing a finished product (evaluated or summarized intelligence as distinguished from "raw" intelligence or intelligence information) issued in periodical form or as part of a series, and any singly-issued paper or report containing finished intelligence reproduced in more than 20 copies.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIALD R A F T

11443

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY, JOINT INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: Publications Review Subcommittee

REFERENCE: Memorandum for the Director of Central Intelligence
from the Secretary, J.I.C., same subject, dated
19 April 1946

1. In further reply to the reference memorandum, study by the Central Intelligence Group of the functions performed by the Publications Review Subcommittee of the Joint Intelligence Committee as set forth in the enclosure to your memorandum, indicates that such functions are encompassed in the responsibilities assigned to the National Intelligence Authority by the President in his letter of 22 January 1946 establishing the Authority.
2. Accordingly, the Central Intelligence Group as agent for the National Intelligence Authority will assume in the future the responsibility of reviewing all intelligence publications issued by Federal agencies, with the view to eliminating duplication and unnecessary reports, insuring adequate dissemination of such publications, and curtailing excessive distribution.
3. The effective date for the assumption of such review responsibility by the Central Intelligence Group will be _____. It is suggested that you notify the War and Navy Departments of the dissolution on such date of the Publications Review Subcommittee of the Joint Intelligence Committee, and that the Joint Chiefs of Staff be requested to rescind their basic directive to the Joint Intelligence Committee.

Hoyt S. Vandenberg
Lieut. General, U.S.A.
Director**CONFIDENTIAL**

CONFIDENTIAL

D R A F T

11443

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

CIG ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. _____

1. With the promulgation of C.I.G. Directive No. _____, dated _____, the Central Intelligence Group has assumed the responsibility of reviewing intelligence publications as therein defined in order to eliminate undesirable duplication and unnecessary reports, to insure adequate dissemination of such publications, and to prevent excessive distribution.
2. Pursuant to the provisions of the above cited directive, material published or to be published by C.I.G. and material submitted by other Federal organizations will be referred to the Office of Collection and Dissemination for review.
3. Where duplication is found to exist, or when indicated dissemination does not appear appropriate, the matter shall be coordinated between representatives of OCD and the other office or organization concerned and resolution of the situation attempted. If a satisfactory arrangement or agreement is not worked out among these parties, a formal report setting forth the entire matter together with recommendations shall be submitted by OCD to the Director of Central Intelligence for his consideration and, in appropriate cases, for determination whether presentation to the Intelligence Advisory Board is indicated.
4. The Office of Collection and Dissemination shall effect the necessary procedures within its office for the actual handling of the material covered by this order.

CONFIDENTIAL

Incl 5

TOP SECRET
CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET
RESTRICTED

ROUTING SLIP


ICAPS

DATE _____

FROM

25X1A

TO

✓		

TIME _____

INFORMATION

PREPARE REPLY

APPROVAL

ACTION ✓

COMMENT

CONCURRENCE

RETURN TO

REMARKS:

*as agreed at ICAPS
staff meeting*

TOP SECRET
CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET
RESTRICTED

ROUTING SLIP

ICAPS

DATE:

FROM

79

25X1A

Annex (1)

①

✓

Wt
MAY - (2)
JUN - (3)
JUL
AUG
SEP
OCT
NOV
DEC

FILE

INFORMATION

PREPARE REPLY

APPROVAL

ACTIONS

GOLDEN TIP

CONCURRENCE

RETURN TO

REMARKS:

(1) Concur in Objective but not in contents of papers which are not clear & unnecessarily wordy - Question advisability of OCD doing more than dissemination control - Review of subject matter would seem to fall to ORE, with ICAPS acting on elimination of unnecessary publications - W.H.A.

2. Signature Good Training Bad.
B. This is another case of negative
action, - a prohibition, a
restriction imposed by CIG
on agency activities. When
we have proven, by production,
our worth, let's then
eliminate duplication.

C. Therefore, I don't believe
this has a chinaman's
chance with the IAB. -

(13)

(3) Concur in objective but
don't believe we can make it
effective under existing circumstances.
I also appear to me that
implementation of the directive
should be a joint responsibility
of OCA and ORE HCN

(4) I think this is quicksand at
present times. JG

(5) This is not the time to bring
this up. ORE should handle review
of material when we get to that
point.